UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/557,745	11/21/2005	Fumihiro Hayashi	073759-0013	2303
	7590 10/02/200 WILL & EMERY LL	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STR		VO, HAI		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/557,745	HAYASHI, FUMIHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hai Vo	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Oct 2a)     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)     This 3)     Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r election requirement. r. epted or b)⊡ objected to by the B drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/11/2006 and 11/21/2005.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/557,745 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Steinhaus et al (US 6,546,292). Steinhaus discloses an implantable electrode comprising a cell ingrowth layer 40, and a cell exclusion layer 38 as shown in figures 2A and 3. The cell ingrowth layer is made from a porous ePTFE having an average pore size greater than 3 microns (column 8, lines 25-35). The cell exclusion layer 38 is formed from ePTFE having an average pore size ranging from 0.05 to 0.4 microns (column 7, lines 30-35). It is contemplated that the cell exclusion layer 39 has the portions surrounding aperture 53 densified to become non-porous (column 8, lines 1-5 and figure 3A). Likewise, the cell ingrowth layer and the cell exclusion layer have different pore size and porosity. As the bubble point is

Application/Control Number: 10/557,745

Art Unit: 1794

regulated by the pore size and porosity, it is the examiner's position that the cell ingrowth and the cell exclusion layers would inherently have different bubble points. Accordingly, Steinhaus anticipates or strongly suggests the claimed subject matter.

Page 3

4. Claims 1-4, 10-14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Saxon (US 5,725,577). Saxon discloses a prothesis comprising a first portion and a second portion substantially surrounding the first portion as shown in figures 7 and 8. The first portion has vital tissue-blocking ability (column 5, lines 1-10). The second portion has vital tissue-penetrating ability (column 6, lines 32-37). Saxon does not specifically disclose the pore size of the first portion. However, it appears that the ePTFE has a surface that does not facilitate as substantial a fibroblastic reaction in the host tissue, therefore, it is the examiner's position that the ePTFE would inherently have a pore size within the claimed range so as not to be susceptible to tissue infiltration. Schuldt-Hempe et al (US 2004/0034373) is relied on as evidence to show a state of fact, that is, the second portion of polypropylene mesh which is commercially available under the trade name MARLEX® has a pore size of 0.8 mm which is within the claimed range (table 4 of Schuldt-Hempe). Saxon discloses the prothesis comprising two first portions with a second portion position therebetween (column 6, lines 5-10). The second portion surrounding the first portion (column 5, lines 65-67). Likewise, it is contemplated that the three-layer laminate comprising a second portion

Application/Control Number: 10/557,745

Art Unit: 1794

sandwiched between the two first portions wherein the second portion is exposed through the openings of the first portions so as to allow sufficient tissue ingrowth.

Accordingly, Saxon anticipates or strongly suggests the claimed subject matter.

Page 4

- 5. Claims 5-9, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saxon (US 5,725,577) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Steinhaus et al (US 6,546,292). Saxon does not specifically disclose the cell ingrowth portion formed from an ePTFE. Steinhaus, however, teaches an implantable device comprising a cell ingrowth layer 40, and a cell exclusion layer 38 as shown in figures 2A and 3. The cell ingrowth layer is made from a porous ePTFE having an average pore size greater than 50 microns (column 8, lines 25-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the ePTFE with an average pore of 50 microns or greater for the cell ingrowth portion because ePTFE and polypropylene mesh have been shown in the art to be recognized equivalent materials with microstructure designed to promote cellular penetration into the materials. The resulting prosthesis would have the cell ingrowth portion having the pore size and porosity different from the cell exclusion portion. As the bubble point is regulated by the pore size and porosity, it is the examiner's position that the two portions would inherently have different bubble points.
- 6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saxon (US 5,725,577) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Amid et al (US 2003/0187516). Saxon does not specifically disclose the cell ingrowth portion

Application/Control Number: 10/557,745 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

and the cell exclusion portion having different color. Amid, however, teaches an implantable prosthesis comprising a cell ingrowth portion 24, and a cell exclusion portion 26 (paragraphs 29, 32, figure 1). Amid discloses the cell exclusion portion includes a plurality of preformed indicia with a contrasting color ink or dye (paragraph 57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide one or more indicia on the cell exclusion portion of the prosthesis motivated with the desire to aid the surgeon in attaching the prosthesis at preferred anchoring location.

## Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1485. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/557,745 Page 6

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hai Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794